

Gravity From Noncommutative Geometry

Andrzej Sitarz ^{*†}

Department of Field Theory

Institute of Physics

Jagiellonian University

Reymonta 4, 30-059 Kraków, Poland

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We introduce the linear connection in the noncommutative geometry model of the product of continuous manifold and the discrete space of two points. We discuss its metric properties, define the metric connection and calculate the curvature. We define also the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature. We find that the latter differs from the standard scalar curvature of the manifold by a term, which might be interpreted as the cosmological constant and apart from that we find no other dynamical fields in the model. Finally we discuss an example solution of flat linear connection, with the nontrivial scaling dependence of the metric tensor on the discrete variable. We interpret the obtained solution as confirmed by the Standard Model, with the scaling factor corresponding to the Weinberg angle.

TPJU - 1/94
JANUARY 1994

^{*}Partially supported by KBN grant 2 P302 168 4

[†]E-mail: sitarz@if.uj.edu.pl

1 Introduction

The interpretation of the electroweak part of the Standard Model as arising from the product of continuous and discrete geometry has been a major success of noncommutative geometry [1]-[7]. It allowed to explain the geometrical origins of the Higgs field and the symmetry breaking mechanism. It is important that in that approach the discrete geometry is on equal footing with the continuous one, thus raising questions on the possible effect of the discrete geometry on gravity [9]-[12]. In this paper we would like to discuss briefly few important points of the metric aspects of such a model. We introduce the analogue of metric tensor, the group of transformations of the module of one-forms and we present the symmetry group of the metric. Next, we introduce the linear connection and discuss the construction of the curvature, Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature for such model, which would be the starting point for the investigation of Einstein-Hilbert gravity action. Finally, we give an example of the solution with vanishing curvature, which is nontrivial, i.e. the metric tensor is scaled if we change from one point of the discrete space to another.

2 Differential Geometry on $M \times \mathbf{Z}_2$

In this section we shall briefly outline the construction of the noncommutative differential geometry on a product of the continuous manifold M and the discrete two-point space $\mathbf{Z}_2$ (for details see [6]). Our starting point is the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of complex-valued functions on $M \times \mathbf{Z}_2$, with the pointwise addition and multiplication. This is a simpler model than the one arising from the Standard Models, as in the latter case the algebra consists of functions complex-valued on one and quaternionic valued on the other point.

Let $\Omega(M)$ denote the differential algebra on M and d_M be the external derivative on $\Omega(M)$. The differential algebra on $\mathbf{Z}_2$ is constructed as follows. Let $\Omega^1(\mathbf{Z}_2)$ be a free bimodule over the algebra A of functions on $\mathbf{Z}_2$ generated by a given one-form χ . The left- and right- multiplication by the elements of A are related by a simple rule:

$$f\chi = \chi\mathcal{R}(f), \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ is the morphism of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$, which corresponds to the inter-

changing of the two points:

$$(\mathcal{R}(f))(x, +) = f(x, -), \quad (2)$$

$$(\mathcal{R}(f))(x, -) = f(x, +), \quad (3)$$

the external derivative $d_{\mathbf{Z}_{22}}$ acts on $\mathcal{A}$ in the following way:

$$d_{\mathbf{Z}_{22}}f = \chi \partial f \equiv \chi(f - \mathcal{R}(f)). \quad (4)$$

The higher order forms are the tensor products (over A) of one-forms, and the external derivative $d_{\mathbf{Z}_2}$ acts on χ as follows:

$$d_{\mathbf{Z}_{22}}\chi = 2\chi \otimes \chi. \quad (5)$$

The conjugation rule, which (graded) commutes with the external derivative is as follows:

$$\chi^\star = -\chi. \quad (6)$$

Now, the differential algebra on $M \times \mathbf{Z}_2$ is just the tensor product of $\Omega(M)$ and $\Omega(\mathbf{Z}_2)$. If we assume for simplicity that $\Omega^1(M)$ is a free module (which is equivalent to the triviality of the tangent bundle TM) we shall have the module $\Omega^1(M \times \mathbf{Z}_2)$ to be a free five-dimensional module over $\mathcal{A}$. The rules for the multiplication of forms in Ω , which we shall denote by $\bullet$, result from the rules of multiplication in $\Omega(M)$ and the properties of the product:

$$dx^\mu \bullet dx^\nu = -dx^\nu \bullet dx^\mu, \quad (7)$$

$$\chi \bullet dx^\mu = -dx^\mu \bullet \chi. \quad (8)$$

Let us point out here that up to this point the construction closely resembles the usual Kaluza-Klein theory, the only difference being the specific bimodule structure of the differential algebra over the additional (discrete) dimension. However, in the next section we shall see that the consequences of this feature are profound and make this theory much different from the standard Kaluza-Klein approach.

3 The Metric

In the standard differential geometry the metric tensor can be defined as a bilinear functional from the product of two copies of Ω^1 to the algebra of

functions. We shall generalise this definition to the noncommutative case and take the metric g to be a middle-linear functional:

$$g : \Omega^1(M) \times \Omega^1(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, \quad (9)$$

where the middle-linearity is defined as follows:

$$g(a\omega_1 b, \omega_2 c) = ag(\omega_1, b\omega_2)c, \quad (10)$$

for all $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega^1$ and $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$. Additionally we shall require the hermicity of the metric, i.e.:

$$g(\omega_1, \omega_2) = (g(\omega_2^*, \omega_1^*))^*. \quad (11)$$

Let us notice here that the expressions $g(\omega, \omega^*)$ and $g(\omega^*, \omega)$ are different and cannot be equal unless the metric is degenerate and $g(\chi, \chi) = 0$.

Our last requirement is that there exists a trace (integration) on the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ such the following condition are satisfied:

$$\int g(\omega^*, \omega) = \int g(\omega, \omega^*), \quad (12)$$

If we impose all these conditions in the considered example we obtain the following restrictions on the parameters of the metric:

$$g(dx^\mu, dx^\nu) = g^{\mu\nu} \quad (13)$$

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}^{\nu\mu} = g^{\nu\mu}, \quad (14)$$

$$g(dx^\mu, \chi) = 0 = g(\chi, dx^\mu), \quad (15)$$

$$g = g(\chi, \chi) = \bar{g}, \quad (16)$$

$$g = \mathcal{R}(g). \quad (17)$$

The metric on the product space $M \times \mathbf{Z}_2$ is therefore completely determined by two 'standard' real metric tensors (each for the separate copy of M) and a scalar real function.

4 Symmetries

Before we begin the discussion of linear connections in the considered model let us say few words about its symmetries. As in the standard differential

geometry the group of diffeomorphism of the manifold corresponds to the conjugation preserving automorphisms of its differential algebra, which commute with the external derivative, our attention here shall be focused on such a group. It is easy to demonstrate that in the particular case the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$ is simply: $(Diff(M) \times Diff(M)) \times \mathbf{Z}_2$ as we can have two separate diffeomorphisms on each copy of M as well as we can interchange the points of the discrete space $\mathbf{Z}_2$.

Now let us have look at the possible linear morphisms of the Ω^1 , which preserve the conjugation. Let us choose the left-linear morphisms. From the rules (1,6) we obtain that $A(v^*) = A(v)^*$ only if such morphism cannot mix the continuous and discrete degrees of freedom:

$$A(dx^\mu) = A_\nu^\mu dx^\nu, \quad (18)$$

$$A(\chi) = a\chi \quad (19)$$

where A_ν^μ must be real and a is such an element of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ that

$$\mathcal{R}(a) = \bar{a}, \quad (20)$$

Now, let us check, which of these morphisms (18,19) are metric preserving, i.e. $g(u, v) = g(A(u), A(v))$ for every one-forms u, v . It appears that the conditions are:

$$A_\rho^\mu g^{\rho\sigma} A_\sigma^\nu = g^{\mu\nu}, \quad (21)$$

$$\alpha \bar{\alpha} g = g, \quad (22)$$

and we see that A_ν^μ must be a function valued in the Lorentz group whereas α must be unitary.

5 Linear Connection

In the construction of the linear connection in the examined model we shall follow the procedure of translating the definitions from the 'standard' differential geometry to the noncommutative situation. We shall begin here with the introduction of the covariant derivative D . We take D as a mapping from $\Omega \otimes \Omega^1$, which satisfies:

$$D(\omega \otimes u) = d\omega \otimes u + (-1)^{\deg \omega} \omega \bullet D(u). \quad (23)$$

for any $\omega \in \omega$ and $u \in \Omega^1$. Let us observe that any two such operators D and D' differ by a (graded) left-linear operator over $\Omega \otimes \Omega^1$:

$$(D - D')(\omega \otimes u) = (-1)^{\deg \omega} \omega \bullet (D - D')u. \quad (24)$$

Due to the definition (23) D is completely determined on the basis of one-forms, thus it is sufficient to calculate:

$$D(dx^\mu) = \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu dx^\rho \otimes dx^\sigma + \Gamma_\rho^\mu \chi \otimes dx^\rho, \quad (25)$$

$$D(\chi) = \Gamma_\chi \otimes \chi + \Gamma_\mu dx^\mu \otimes \chi. \quad (26)$$

We have assumed here that the left-linear operators acting on Ω^1 are generated by the star preserving morphism of Ω^1 and therefore due to (18-20) the coefficients must satisfy the following relations:

$$\Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\mu = \bar{\Gamma}_{\nu\rho}^\mu, \quad (27)$$

$$\Gamma_\nu^\mu = \bar{\Gamma}_\nu^\mu, \quad (28)$$

$$\mathcal{R}(\Gamma_\mu) = \bar{\Gamma}_\mu, \quad (29)$$

$$\mathcal{R}(\Gamma) = \bar{\Gamma}. \quad (30)$$

Now, let us require that the above introduced linear connection is the metric one, which now we might formulate in the following way:

$$d(g(u, u^*)) = \tilde{g}(Du, u^*) + \tilde{g}(u, (Du)^*), \quad (31)$$

where u is an arbitrary one-form and $\tilde{g}$ is the extension of the metric g to the forms having values in a module, the latter being again Ω^1 in our case:

$$\tilde{g}(u_1 \otimes u_2, v) = u_1 g(u_2, v). \quad (32)$$

The equation (31) together with the conditions (27-30) lead to the following set of constraints on the linear connection:

$$\partial_\rho g^{\mu\nu} = \Gamma_{\rho\kappa}^\mu g^{\kappa\nu} + \Gamma_{\rho\kappa}^\nu g^{\mu\kappa}, \quad (33)$$

$$\partial g^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_\kappa^\mu) g^{\kappa\nu} - \mathcal{R}(g^{\mu\kappa}) \Gamma_\kappa^\nu, \quad (34)$$

$$\partial_\mu g = g(\Gamma_\mu + \bar{\Gamma}_\mu), \quad (35)$$

$$\partial g = 0, \quad (36)$$

Let us observe that (36) simply repeats the condition (17), which we have obtained earlier. The first condition (33) gives us the usual relation between the Christoffel symbols and the derivatives of the metric tensor.

As a next step we shall present the transformation laws of all introduced coefficients of the curvature induced by the star preserving automorphisms of Ω^1 , which we have discussed earlier. If A and a denote the transformations of the continuous and discrete degrees of freedom respectively, and $\tilde{A}$ is A^{-1} , we shall have:

$$\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \rightarrow \Gamma_{\beta\rho}^\mu A_\mu^\alpha \tilde{A}_\gamma^\rho + \partial_\beta(A_\kappa^\mu) \tilde{A}_\gamma^\kappa, \quad (37)$$

$$\Gamma_\beta^\alpha \rightarrow \Gamma_\nu^\mu A_\mu^\alpha \mathcal{R}(\tilde{A}_\beta^\nu) - (A_\kappa^\alpha - \mathcal{R}(A_\kappa^\alpha)) \mathcal{R}(\tilde{A}_\beta^\kappa), \quad (38)$$

$$\Gamma_\mu \rightarrow \frac{1}{\bar{a}} (\Gamma_\kappa + \partial_\kappa a), \quad (39)$$

$$\Gamma \rightarrow \frac{1}{\bar{a}} (\bar{a} - a + a\Gamma). \quad (40)$$

One may easily verify that the constraints (33-36) are invariant under these transformations. Let us observe that although Γ_ν^μ is not a tensor with respect to the change of coordinates on both copies of M , we may find that $\Theta_\nu^\mu = \delta_\nu^\mu - \Gamma_\nu^\mu$ transforms like a tensor:

$$\Theta_\nu^\mu \rightarrow \Theta_\beta^\alpha A_\alpha^\mu \mathcal{R}(\tilde{A}_\nu^\beta), \quad (41)$$

Similarly, we may also introduce $\Theta = 1 - \Gamma$, which transformation law is again simpler as the one for Γ :

$$\Theta \rightarrow \frac{1}{\bar{a}} \Theta a. \quad (42)$$

Using the newly introduced variable Θ_ν^μ we may rewrite the constraint (34) as

$$\mathcal{R}(\Theta_\kappa^\mu) g^{\kappa\nu} = \mathcal{R}(g^{\mu\kappa}) \Theta_\kappa^\nu. \quad (43)$$

In the standard differential geometry there exists exactly one linear metric connection, which has a vanishing torsion. So far in our considerations we have neglected the torsion and now we have to explain why this point cannot be transferred as it stands to the noncommutative case. Originally, the reason that one may restrict oneself to the connections with no torsion comes from the geometric picture. It appears that for every linear connection there

exists one with vanishing torsion, which has the same geodesics. As in the noncommutative case we do not have such a picture, we have no motivation for imposing the same condition in general. Naively, since we may interpret torsion as the following map:

$$T : \Omega^1 \ni \omega \rightarrow (\pi \circ D - d)\omega \in \Omega^2, \quad (44)$$

where π is the projection $\pi : \Omega^1 \otimes \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega^2$, by imposing that the torsion vanishes we shall obtain that Γ_ν^μ and Γ_μ must vanish and $\Gamma = 2$. Additionally, from the constraint (34) we shall have that $\partial g^{\mu\nu} = 0$, which would mean that the metric on both copies of M shall be the same and the resulting theory of linear connections would be the same as for the single manifold M .

In our case, however, we shall only require that the torsion restricted to the continuous degrees of freedom vanishes, which is equivalent to the symmetry of the Christoffel symbols:

$$\Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\mu = \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\mu. \quad (45)$$

Finally, let us come to the construction of curvature. Similarly as in the ordinary differential geometry we define the curvature as the square of the covariant derivative $R = D^2$, which then is a left-linear operator on $\Omega \otimes \Omega^1$:

$$R(\omega \otimes u) = \omega R(u), \quad (46)$$

so in order to determine R it is sufficient to calculate its action on the basis of one-forms:

$$R(dx^\mu) = dx^\alpha \bullet dx^\beta \left(\partial_\alpha \Gamma_{\beta\rho}^\mu - \partial_\beta \Gamma_{\alpha\rho}^\mu - \Gamma_{\alpha\kappa}^\mu \Gamma_{\beta\rho}^\kappa + \Gamma_{\beta\kappa}^\mu \Gamma_{\alpha\rho}^\kappa \right) \otimes dx^\rho \quad (47)$$

$$+ dx^\alpha \bullet \chi \left(\mathcal{R}(\partial_\alpha \Theta_\rho^\mu) + \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_{\alpha\kappa}^\mu \Theta_\rho^\kappa) - \mathcal{R}(\Theta_\kappa^\mu) \Gamma_{\alpha\rho}^\kappa \right) \otimes dx^\rho \quad (48)$$

$$+ \chi \bullet \chi (\delta_\alpha^\mu - \Theta_\kappa^\mu \mathcal{R}(\Theta_\alpha^\kappa)) \otimes dx^\alpha, \quad (49)$$

and

$$R(\chi) = dx^\alpha \bullet dx^\beta (\partial_\alpha \Gamma_\beta - \partial_\beta \Gamma_\alpha) \otimes \chi \quad (50)$$

$$+ dx^\alpha \bullet \chi (\mathcal{R}(\partial_\alpha \Theta) + \mathcal{R}(\Theta \Gamma_\alpha) - \mathcal{R}(\Theta) \Gamma_\alpha) \otimes \chi \quad (51)$$

$$+ \chi \bullet \chi (1 - \Theta \mathcal{R}(\Theta)) \otimes \chi. \quad (52)$$

The first component of the curvature (47) is just the standard Christoffel-Riemann curvature tensor (for both copies of M), whereas the rest is the

result of the existence of additional structure of discrete geometry. Let us notice that (50) vanishes if we take into account the equation (36).

We shall briefly discuss an example of a nontrivial solution with the vanishing curvature in the next section and now we shall proceed with the definition of the generalisation of the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature. We define the Ricci tensor R_c as the trace of R , treated as a map $R : \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega^1 \bullet (\Omega^1 \otimes \Omega^1)$. In this approach R_c is simply an element of $\Omega^1 \otimes \Omega^1$ and we have:

$$R_c = dx^\beta \left(\partial_\mu \Gamma_{\beta\rho}^\mu - \partial_\beta \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\mu - \Gamma_{\mu\kappa}^\mu \Gamma_{\beta\rho}^\kappa + \Gamma_{\beta\kappa}^\mu \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\kappa \right) \otimes dx^\rho \quad (53)$$

$$+ \chi \left(\mathcal{R}(\partial_\mu \Theta_\rho^\mu) + \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_{\mu\kappa}^\mu \Theta_\rho^\kappa) - \mathcal{R}(\Theta_\kappa^\mu) \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\kappa \right) \otimes dx^\rho \quad (54)$$

$$- dx^\alpha \left(\mathcal{R}(\partial_\alpha \Theta) + \mathcal{R}(\Theta \Gamma_\alpha) - \mathcal{R}(\Theta) \Gamma_\alpha \right) \otimes \chi \quad (55)$$

$$+ \chi (1 - \Theta \mathcal{R}(\Theta)) \otimes \chi \quad (56)$$

The scalar curvature R_s is the contraction of R_c with the metric g (the latter treated as a map from $\Omega^1 \otimes \Omega^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$). Due to the special properties of g we obtain the scalar curvature the same as in the standard differential geometry with an additional cosmological constant term:

$$R_s = g^{\beta\rho} \left(\partial_\mu \Gamma_{\beta\rho}^\mu - \partial_\beta \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\mu - \Gamma_{\mu\kappa}^\mu \Gamma_{\beta\rho}^\kappa + \Gamma_{\beta\kappa}^\mu \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\kappa \right) + g(1 - \Theta \mathcal{R}(\Theta)). \quad (57)$$

Let us point out that the remarkable feature that the scalar curvature has no other dynamical variables than the restriction of the metric to the continuous coordinates $g^{\mu\nu}$. The other field Θ , which appears in the above expression, has no dynamics and therefore plays only a role of the scale factor of the cosmological constant.

6 Example solution

In this section we shall briefly discuss an example of a non-trivial solution of a flat linear connection, which we can compare with the information obtained from the Standard Model.

Let us assume for simplicity that $\Gamma_\mu = 0$, $g = 1$ and $\Theta = 1$. Then, the whole information of the linear connection is in dynamical variable $g^{\mu\nu}$ and the auxiliary one $\Theta_n^\mu u$.

Let us now take $\Theta_n^\mu u = \Psi \delta_\nu^\mu$, where Ψ is an element of $\mathcal{A}$, which is constant on each copy of M . From the vanishing of the component (49) of the curvature we have

$$1 = \Psi(+) \Psi(-), \quad (58)$$

which allows us to write $\Psi(+)$ as $\tan \varphi$ and $\Psi(-)$ as $\cot \varphi$ for some nonzero real number φ . Next, let us use the constraint (43), we obtain then the following relation:

$$(\tan \varphi) \mathcal{R}(g^{\mu\nu}) = g^{\mu\nu} (\cot \varphi), \quad (59)$$

which could be easily solved. If we define $h^{\mu\nu}$ as follows

$$h^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{R}(g^{\mu\nu}), \quad (60)$$

then we shall simply have:

$$g^{\mu\nu}(x, +) = (\sin^2 \varphi) h^{\mu\nu}, \quad (61)$$

$$g^{\mu\nu}(x, -) = (\cos^2 \varphi) h^{\mu\nu}. \quad (62)$$

Let us observe that it guarantees that the linear connection $\Gamma_{\alpha\rho}^\mu$ are identical on both copies of M , thus, if $h^{\mu\nu}$ is the flat Minkowski metric, we shall have all components the curvature tensor (47-52) vanishing.

The obtained solution explicitly demonstrates that in the noncommutative extension of gravity on the product of continuous and discrete geometry there are possible some nontrivial solutions with vanishing curvature. What is more intriguing, we can link this solution with the interpretation of the Standard Model and the Weinberg angle [6, 7, 8]. It appears that the nature of the Weinberg angle can be reinterpreted in the noncommutative geometry approach as the difference in scaling of the flat metric tensor on the different copies of the Minkowski space corresponding to left and right fermions. Now, if we look at the above solution, we see that it is precisely what we have derived as an example solution of flat metric on $M \times \mathbf{Z}_2$. Therefore we find an amazing agreement between the theoretical solutions and the experimental facts, as explained by the form of the Standard Model.

7 Conclusions

If the Standard Model gives us the correct (at least at the current energy level) description of the elementary particles we have strong reasons to believe that at small distances the geometry of the world is more subtle than

once though and it involves the discrete geometry. As we know what are its consequences for the gauge theories it is reasonable to ask whether the resulting theory of gravity would differ from the standard general relativity. So far, there have couple of attempts to solve this problem [9]-[12], with various results. Here, we have demonstrated that although the linear connection has some auxiliary fields, none of them enters the scalar curvature and the action in any other form than the scaling factor. Therefore the resulting theory of gravity would be, in general, similar to standard one, the only differences being the possibility of separate solutions for $g^{\mu\nu}$ on each copy of M and the additional cosmological constant term in the generalised scalar curvature. Our results seem to agree with these derived in a recent paper by Kalau and Waltze [11], where the gravity action was obtained by taking the Wodzicki residue of the operator D^{-2} .

In this article we have explicitly derived an example of a solution with the vanishing curvature, which has different metric on the separate copies of the manifold M . The interpretation of the variable φ as the Weinberg angle agrees comes directly from the interpretation of the Standard Model in the noncommutative-geometry framework. Therefore, this variable should be treated rather a parameter connected with geometry than another free parameter of the Standard Model. The possible consequences of this interpretation might be profound, for instance, it should be verified whether the Weinberg angle could be generalised to be a dynamical variable.

As in this paper we have only touched the subject of the generalisation of gravity in noncommutative geometry, there remains a lot of interesting problems for further research. The above mentioned problem of the Weinberg angle seems to be the most intriguing one. One should also attempt to find other possible solutions and verify to what extent they might differ from the standard solutions. Another problem is the coupling between gravity and matter fields, which, in this approach could be well formulated.

Acknowledgements

I would to thank R.Coquereaux for his kind hospitality and helpful discussions during my stay in Marseille.

References

- [1] A.Connes,J.Lott, *Particle Models and Concommutative Geometry*, Nucl.Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) **B18** , (1990) 29-47.
- [2] A.Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, to be published.
- [3] A.Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry in Physics*, preprint **IHES/M/93/32** , (1993) .
- [4] R.Coquereaux, *Non-commutative Geometry: A Physicists Brief Survey*, J.Geom.Phys, **11** (1993) 307-324.
- [5] J.C.Varily, J.M.Gracia-Bonda, '*Connes' Noncommutative Geometry and the Standard Model*, J.geom.Phys. **12** (1993) 223-301.
- [6] A.Sitarz, *Noncommutative Geometry and Gauge Theory on Discrete Groups*, to appear in J.Geom.Phys.
- [7] A.Sitarz,*Higgs Mass and Noncommutative Geometry*, Phys.Lett. **B308** , 311 (1993).
- [8] A.Sitarz, *Noncommutative Geometry and Gauge Theory*, PhD Thesis, unpublished.
- [9] A.H.Chamseddine, G.Felder, J.Fröhlich, *Gravity in Non-Commutative Geometry*, Comm.Math.Phys. **155** (1993) 205-207.
- [10] A.H.Chamseddine, J.Fröhlich, preprint **ZU-TH-18/1993** .
- [11] W.Kalau, M.Waltze, *Gravity, Non-Commutative Geometry and the Wodzicki Residue*, preprint **MZ-TH/93-38** .
- [12] D.Kastler, *The Dirac Operator and Gravitation*, preprint **CPT-93/P.2970** .